CLIMATE CHANGE PROJECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL TREE SPECIES LAKE AGASSIZ ASPEN PARKLANDS (ECOLOGICAL SECTION 222N)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. A team of managers and researchers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the region (*Handler et al. 2014*). This report includes information on observed and future climate trends, and also summarizes key vulnerabilities for forested natural communities. The Landscape Change Research Group recently updated the Climate Change Tree Atlas, and this handout summarizes that information.

Full Tree Atlas results are available online at www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/. Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The updated Tree Atlas presents additional information helpful to interpret tree species changes:

- Suitable habitat calculated based on 39 variables that explain where optimum conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- Adaptability based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- Capability a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (FIA data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species.
- Migration Potential Model when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management (see the table section: "New Habitat with Migration Potential").

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

SOURCE: This handout summarizes the full model results for the Lake Agassiz Aspen Parklands (Ecological Section 222N), available at www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries. More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at www.forestadaptation.org/northwoods. A full description of the models and variables are provided in Iverson et al. 2019 (www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/57857 and www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/58353).

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY

	7.0.2						
POOR CAPABILITY							
Balsam fir	Serviceberry						
Mountain maple	Tamarack (native)						
Pin cherry							
FAIR CAPABILITY							
Balsam poplar	Quaking aspen						
Black cherry	Red pine						
Black willow	White spruce						
Paper birch							
GOOD CAPABILITY							
American basswood	Jack pine						
American elm	Northern red oak						
Boxelder	Northern white-cedar						
Bur oak	Red maple						
Green ash	Silver maple						
Ironwood	Sugar maple						
MIXED RESULTS							
Black ash	Slippery elm						
Black spruce							
NEW HABITAT WITH M	IIGRATION POTENTIAL						
Ashe juniper	Hackberry						
Bigtooth aspen	Honeylocust						
Black oak	Live oak						
Black walnut	Northern pin oak						
Cedar elm	Red mulberry						
Eastern cottonwood	Swamp white oak						
Eastern redcedar	White oak						
Eastern white pine	Yellow birch						
-astain winter princ	. Chow bileii						



ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + HIGH Species may perform better than modeled
- MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ▼ DECREASE Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- COMMON
- RARE

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance within this region.

- △ GOOD Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability.
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

			LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)					LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT I CHANGE	CAPABILITY	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY
American basswood	•	_	A	Δ	_	Δ	Jack pine	+	_	_	Δ	_	Δ
American elm	•	•	_	Δ	_	Δ	Live oak	•		*		*	
Ashe juniper	•		*		*		Mountain maple*	+	_	•	∇	•	∇
Balsam fir	_	•	•	∇	•	∇	Northern pin oak	+		*		*	
Balsam poplar	•	+	•	0	•	0	Northern red oak	+	_	A	Δ	A	Δ
Bigtooth aspen	•		*		*		Northern white-cedar	•	_	A	Δ	A	Δ
Black ash	_	•	_	0	•	∇	Paper birch	•	_	<u> </u>	0	A	0
Black cherry	_	_	A	0	<u> </u>	0	Pin cherry*		_	▼	∇	_	∇
Black oak	•		*		*		Quaking aspen	•	+	_	0	_	0
Black spruce			•	0	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Red maple	+	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Black walnut*	•		*		*		Red mulberry*	•		*		*	
Black willow*	_	_	<u> </u>	0		0	Red pine	_	_	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	0
Boxelder*	+	•	<u> </u>	Δ		Δ	Serviceberry*	•	_	▼	∇	▼	∇
Bur oak	+	+	<u> </u>	Δ	•	Δ_	Silver maple*	+	_	<u> </u>	Δ	A	Δ
Cedar elm	_		*		*		Slippery elm*		_	•	∇	_	0
Eastern cottonwood*			*		*		Sugar maple	+	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Eastern redcedar			*		*		Swamp white oak*			*		*	
Eastern white pine	_		*		*		Tamarack (native)	_		•	∇	•	∇
Green ash*	•		_	Δ	_	Δ	White oak	+		*		*	
Hackberry	+		*		*		White spruce		_	<u> </u>	0	_	0
Honeylocust*	+		*		*		Yellow birch	•		*		*	
Ironwood*	+	_	_	Δ		Δ			,				

^{*}Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class. See maps and tables for more information (<u>www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries</u>).