CLIMATE CHANGE PROJECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL TREE SPECIES RED RIVER VALLEY (ECOLOGICAL SECTION 251A)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. A team of managers and researchers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the region (*Handler et al. 2014*). This report includes information on observed and future climate trends, and also summarizes key vulnerabilities for forested natural communities. The Landscape Change Research Group recently updated the Climate Change Tree Atlas, and this handout summarizes that information.

Full Tree Atlas results are available online at www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/. Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The updated Tree Atlas presents additional information helpful to interpret tree species changes:

- Suitable habitat calculated based on 39 variables that explain where optimum conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- Adaptability based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- Capability a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (FIA data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species.
- Migration Potential Model when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management (see the table section: "New Habitat with Migration Potential").

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

SOURCE: This handout summarizes the full model results for the Red River Valley (Ecological Section 251A), available at www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at www.forestadaptation.org/northwoods. A full description of the models and variables are provided in Iverson et al. 2019 (www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/57857 and www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/58353).

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY

POOR CAPABILITY	
Balsam fir	Paper birch
Balsam poplar	Pin cherry
Black ash	Quaking aspen
Black cherry	Red pine
Black willow	Serviceberry
Eastern cottonwood	Slippery elm
Eastern white pine	White spruce
Jack pine	
FAIR CAPABILITY	
Boxelder	Ironwood
Bur oak	Northern red oak
Green ash	Sugar maple
GOOD CAPABILITY	
Eastern redcedar	Hackberry
MIXED RESULTS	
American basswood	American elm
NEW HABITAT WITH MIC	GRATION POTENTIAL
Ashe juniper	Nuttall oak
Bitternut hickory	Pin oak
Black locust	Post oak
Black oak	Red maple
Black walnut	Red mulberry
Cedar elm	Shagbark hickory
Cittamwood	Silver maple
Honeylocust	Swamp white oak
Live oak	Tamarack (native)
Northern pin oak	White oak
Northern white-cedar	Winged elm



ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + HIGH Species may perform better than modeled
- MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ▼ DECREASE Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- COMMON
- RARE

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance within this region.

- △ GOOD Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability.
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	CHANGE HABITAT	LIMATE (RCP 4.5)		LIMATE (RCP 8.5) APABILITY	SPECIES	ADAPT /		CLIMATE E (RCP 4.5) CAPABILITY	CHANGI HABITAT	CLIMATE (RCP 8.5) CAPABILITY
American basswood	•	_	<u> </u>	0	•	∇	Live oak	•	*		*	
American elm	•	•	V	∇	•	0	Northern pin oak	+	*		*	
Ashe juniper	•		*		*		Northern red oak	+	_ •	0	•	0
Balsam fir	_	_	_	∇	V	∇	Northern white-cedar	•	*		*	
Balsam poplar	•	_	V	∇	_	∇	Nuttall oak	+	*		*	
Bitternut hickory*	+		*		*		Paper birch	•	_ •	∇	•	$\overline{\nabla}$
Black ash	_	_	•	∇	•	∇	Pin cherry*	•	_ ▼	∇	V	∇
Black cherry	_	_	_	∇	•	$\overline{\nabla}$	Pin oak*	_	*		*	
Black locust*	•		*		*		Post oak	+	*		*	
Black oak	•		*		*		Quaking aspen		. 🔻	∇	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Black walnut*	•		*		*		Red maple	+	*		*	
Black willow*	_	_	•	∇	•	$\overline{\nabla}$	Red mulberry*		*		*	
Boxelder*	+		_	0	V	0	Red pine	_	_ ▼	∇	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Bur oak	+	•	V	0	V	0	Serviceberry*	•	_ ▼	∇	_	∇
Cedar elm	_		*		*		Shagbark hickory	•	*		*	
Cittamwood*	+		*		*		Silver maple*	+	*		*	
Eastern cottonwood*	•	_	•	∇	•	∇	Slippery elm*	•	_ •	∇	•	∇
Eastern redcedar	•	_	A	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Sugar maple	+	_ •	0	•	0
Eastern white pine	_	_	•	∇	V	∇	Swamp white oak*	•	*		*	
Green ash*	•	•	•	0	•	0	Tamarack (native)	_	*		*	
Hackberry	+	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	White oak	+	*		*	
Honeylocust*	+		*		*		White spruce	•	_ ▼	∇	_	∇
Ironwood*	+	_	•	0	•	0	Winged elm		*		*	
Jack pine	+	_	V	∇	_	∇						

^{*}Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class. See maps and tables for more information (www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries).